



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/729,270

12/05/2003

Albert A. Vierheilig

113222-132

1614

75160

7590

02/11/2008

PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP / INTERCAT EQUIPMENT  
595 SHREWSBURY AVENUE  
SUITE 100  
SHREWSBURY, NJ 07702

EXAMINER

DOUGLAS, JOHN CHRISTOPHER

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1797

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

02/11/2008

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ktaboada@pattersonsheridan.com  
PSdocketing@pattersonsheridan.com  
tchowdhury@intercatinc.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/729,270	<b>Applicant(s)</b> VIERHEILIG ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> JOHN C. DOUGLAS	<b>Art Unit</b> 1797	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JOHN C. DOUGLAS.

(3) Tanzina Chowdhury.

(2) Prem Singh.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 28 January 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 57.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached.    g) ☐ was not reached.    h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's Representative, Tanzina Chowdhury, agreed to amend claim 57 to incorporate the limitation of claim 77. The Representative also agreed to supply terminal disclaimers for cases: 10/749695 and 10/995583.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Glenn Caldarola/ AU1764

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required